D/SB/30 (04-05)

PTO/SB/30 (04-05)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Odder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number:

~ CCD () 1 2000	REQUEST	
FEB 0 1 2006	FOR	
CONTINUE	/ FOR ED EXAMINAT TRANSMITTAL	ION (RCE
TRADENAM -	TRANSMITTAL	_

Address to:
Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

aniooo	it displays a valid Civil Control number.			
Application Number	10/083,094			
Filing Date	February 26, 2002			
First Named Inventor	Smith			
Art Unit	2631			
Examiner Name	Freshteh N. Aghdam			
Attorney Docket Number	920476-905000			

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.

	sion required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered						
and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s).							
a.   Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action							
may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked.  i.  Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on							
ii. Other							
b. 🛛 Enclosed							
i. ☑ Amendment/Reply iii. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) ii. ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) iv. ☐ Other							
2. Miscellaneous		_					
a. Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1.103(c) for							
a period ofmonths. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) required) b.  Other							
	fee under 37 CEP 1 17(a) is required by	27 CED 1 114	whon the	DOE in 61			
<ul> <li>Fees The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed.</li> <li>a.  The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, any underpayment of fees, or credit any</li> </ul>							
	s to Deposit Account No. 12-0913				sed a duplicate copy of this sheet.		
	RCE fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(e)						
iii. 🔲 Extensi	ii.						
b.   Check in the a			enclosed	d			
c. Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed)							
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not							
be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED							
Signature	Willem We to	1	Date		January 27, 2006		
Name (Print / Type)	William M. Lee, Jr.		Registra	ition No.	26,935		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION							
hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to							
Bignature A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A							
Name (Print / Type)	Minnie Wilson	1	Date	Innuero	27, 2006		
10 (1.00, 1760)			Date	oanual y	~·, ~···		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing the burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of

Martin Smith

Serial No.

: 10/083,094

Filed

February 26, 2002

For

Improved Radio Communications Device

with Adaptive combination

**Examiner** 

Agndam, Freshteh N.

**Art Unit** 

2631

Customer number

23644

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 27, 2006.

Name of person signing Minnie Wilson Signature Minnie Wilson

Minnie Wilson

## FURTHER RESPONSE ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

Honorable Director of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A Request for Continued Examination is being filed concurrently herewith, and this response is being submitted in view of comments made by the Examiner in his Advisory Action.

In the Advisory Action mailed January 17, 2006, the Examiner reasons that Wegner teaches a MIMO communications device. Applicants disagree.

Wegner teaches a wireless base station. While Wegner, of course, supports communications for multiple users, the communication between any given pair of users is only a single channel. Contrary to the Examiner's allegation, there is no suggestion in Wegner that multiple simultaneous channels are used. MIMO communication requires multiple simultaneous communication channels between a given pair of users.

Applicants believe the Examiner may have been misled by the presence of multiple beams in Wegner. Wegner teaches a base station which can form N beams. However, Wegner does not teach that the beams are used simultaneously to support parallel communications channels between a given pair of users, as required for MIMO communications. In Wegner, signals are received at multiple antenna elements (shown as elements #1 - #m in box 26, Fig. 1) and applied to a Butler Matrix (20, Fig. 1). Each of the outputs of the Butler Matrix represent one of the N received beams. Each of the beams will carry signals for a particular user. Signals from each of the N beams are then simply routed by elements 14, 12 to an appropriate destination (see col.5 lines 39-43). Wegner does not teach "a processor arranged to operate on outputs of multiple receive chains to produce an output signal." Indeed, Wegner has no need to perform any such processing as the communication between any pair of users in the system of Wegner is only a single channel.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants remain firmly convinced that the application distinguishes from the prior art and is allowable thereover. The Examiner's further and favorable reconsideration in that regard is urged.

January 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Lee, Jr.

Registration No. 26,935 Barnes & Thornburg LLP

P.O. Box 2786

Chicago, Illinois 60690-2786

(312) 214-4800

(312) 759-5646 (fax)

CHDS01 WLEE 315502v1